

The State of the Art in Flow Visualization: Structure-Based Techniques

Tobias Salzbrunn*

salzbrunn@informatik.uni-leipzig.de

Heike Jänicke†

jaenicke@informatik.uni-leipzig.de

Thomas Wischgoll‡

thomas.wischgoll@wright.edu

Gerik Scheuermann§

scheuermann@informatik.uni-leipzig.de

Abstract

Flow visualization has been a very active subfield of scientific visualization in recent years. From the resulting large variety of methods this paper discusses structure-based techniques. The aim of these approaches is to partition the flow in areas of common behavior. Based on this partitioning, subsequent visualization techniques can be applied. A classification is suggested and advantages/disadvantages of the different techniques are discussed as well.

1 Introduction

Flow visualization has been a central topic in scientific visualization for over two decades with a wide variety of application examples from different engineering disciplines and sciences. Many well-established techniques have emerged that help scientists get a better understanding of their data. Early techniques such as texture-based visualizations tried to display all details in the flow. As datasets became larger and more complex, visualization techniques were developed that present a structural overview of the flow. These Structure-based techniques have the benefit that they retain a view of the whole flow while being abstract enough to avoid cluttering, making them especially appealing for 3D vector fields.

1.1 Classification

Before going into detail with the structure-based techniques, we want to give an overview of flow visualization techniques to provide context. In their flow visualization survey Laramée et al. [LHD⁺04] suggest a subdivision of the many different approaches and various methods proposed in the field. We will adopt their classification scheme and add an additional

*Institut für Informatik, Universität Leipzig, D-04009 Leipzig, Germany

†Institut für Informatik, Universität Leipzig, D-04009 Leipzig, Germany

‡Computer Science and Engineering, Wright State University, 3640 Colonel Glenn Hwy., Dayton, OH 45435

§Institut für Informatik, Universität Leipzig, D-04009 Leipzig, Germany




Figure 1: Classification of flow visualization techniques

class, structure-based flow visualization, that we think is so far not adequately captured. We slightly alter the description of feature-based flow visualization to emphasize the differences compared to structure-based techniques.

- *Direct flow visualization:* This category of techniques uses a translation that is as direct as possible for representing flow data in the resulting visualization. The result is an overall picture of the flow. Common approaches are drawing arrows (Figure 3, left) or color coding velocity. Intuitive pictures can be provided, especially in the case of two dimensions. Solutions of this kind allow immediate investigation of the flow data.
- *Dense, texture-based flow visualization:* Similar to direct flow visualization, a texture is computed that is used to generate a dense representation of the flow. A notion of where the flow moves is incorporated through co-related texture values along the vector field. In most cases this effect is achieved through filtering of texture values according to the local flow vector. Texture-based methods offer a dense representation of the flow with complete coverage of the vector field. The survey by Laramee et al. [LHD⁺04] reviews this type of visualizations.
- *Geometric flow visualization:* For a better communication of the long-term behavior induced by flow dynamics, integration-based approaches first integrate the flow data and use geometric objects as a basis for flow visualization. The resulting integral objects are geometrically designed to reflect the properties of the flow. Examples include streamlines, streaklines, and pathlines. These geometric objects are based on integration as opposed to other geometric objects, like isosurfaces, that may also be useful for visualization. A description of geometric techniques is presented by Post et al. [PVH⁺02].
- *Feature-based flow visualization:* Another approach makes use of an abstraction and/or extraction step which is performed before visualization. Special features, i.e.,

“phenomena, structures or objects in a data set, that are of interest for a certain research or engineering problem“ [PVH⁺03], are extracted from the original dataset. Visualization is then based on these local flow features (instead of the entire dataset), allowing for compact and efficient flow visualization, even of very large and/or time-dependent datasets. This can also be thought of as visualization of *derived* data. Post et al. [PVH⁺03] cover feature-based flow visualization in detail.

- *Structure-based flow visualization*: In contrast to feature-based techniques that focus on local structures, structure-based flow visualizations partition the whole domain. This approach subdivides the flow or the respective vector field according to similar behavior, either by comparing vector values or by analyzing line-integrals. The resulting partitioning defines the structure with respect to the flow’s behavior and serves as a basis for further visualizations.

Some flow visualization methods can be assigned to more than one class depending on the point of view. Topological information, for example, can be assigned to feature- and structure-based techniques. If extracted sources and sinks are relevant features, a visualization highlighting them would be classified as feature-based method. On the other side, the topological skeleton can be regarded as a partitioning of the space subdividing regions of similar behavior. In the first case, the single points are of interest, whereas in the second one the topology provides information about the entire domain. The five classes along with some representative techniques are illustrated in Figure 1. The remainder of this paper will explain the different techniques that are available in this subfield of flow visualization.

2 Structure-based flow visualization

When analyzing a dataset scientists and engineers are commonly interested in relevant structures in the flow and the overall behavior. While feature-based techniques focus on the visualization of interesting phenomena, structure-based visualizations try to communicate a holistic description of the flow. As a structural decomposition of the domain, we define a partition of the flow according to a similarity measure. In the field of structure-based flow visualization two conceptually different approaches can be distinguished:

- *Cluster-based Approaches*: Methods in this category cluster regions of the flow that feature similar vector values (Eulerian view). This can be achieved using local or global similarity measures. After the partitioning the individual clusters are commonly represented by a single icon, e.g., a vector representing the average flow.
- *Integral-Line-based Approaches*: Integral-line-based approaches compute the similarity of integral lines with respect to a similarity measure (Lagrangian view). We distinguish topology-based and general integral line based approaches. Subsequent visualizations are used to illustrate the boundaries or relevant attributes of the subregions.




Figure 2: Classification of structure-based flow visualization techniques

2.1 Cluster-Based Approaches

One of the first techniques used to create coarsened representations of vector fields are cluster-based approaches. These techniques subdivide the domain into different subregions (clusters) of similar vectors and represent each cluster by an icon, e.g., a vector representing the average flow in the cluster (cf. Figure 3). Three different concepts are used to define clusters:

- *Similarity-based Methods*: Techniques belonging to this category group positions or cells that feature similar vectors. Similarity is computed using a predefined similarity measure.
- *Physical Processes*: These methods use a physical process, e.g., anisotropic diffusion, to compute a scalar field representing the structures of the flow. Afterwards the scalar field is partitioned into subregions.
- *Topology-related Methods*: This group contains techniques that employ concepts of topology to extract subregions.

2.1.1 Similarity-based Methods

A straightforward method to define subregions of similar behavior in a flow is to cluster those positions or cells that feature similar vectors. This can be achieved either by hierarchical formation of clusters or by identifying a predefined number of clusters.

Hierarchical Techniques Hierarchical Techniques are divided into top-down and bottom-up methods. Top-down methods iteratively subdivide the domain. In bottom-up methods, each vector forms a cluster in the beginning and similar clusters are merged iteratively.

Heckel et al. [HWH99] proposed a top-down approach that minimizes the deviation of streamlines in the coarse field and respective ones in the original field. In each step the cluster with the largest deviation is split by a plane. The splitting direction is determined using principal component analysis. This technique results in a coarsened representation of the field consisting of convex clusters.




Figure 3: Clustering of a flow field: (left) Hedgehog representation of the flow (right) Image of the clusters with average vectors as icons.

The bottom-up method by Telea and van Wijk [TvW99] merges clusters of highest similarity. Initially, each vector forms a separate cluster. In each step, those two clusters are merged that show least divergence in positions and orientations of the vectors in the clusters. Depending on the weights in the error term different shapes of clusters are favored.

Fixed Number of Clusters The hierarchical techniques introduced so far operate only locally. To control the overall error, (Voronoi-)cell based methods were introduced that minimize a global error function.

A clustering technique based on Centroidal Voronoi tessellation was proposed by Du and Wang [DW04]. The method identifies n positions in the field that are used as cluster centers. The surrounding Voronoi-cells form the clusters. An optimization process is used to determine those positions that minimize an error function, which measures the distances between the elements in the cluster and the center. The set of positions with the smallest error is used as centers of the Voronoi-cells.

The previous work was extended by McKenzie et al. [MLD05], who use different error metrics in the variational clustering. Amongst others, the gradient, divergence, and curl are used to control the partitioning.

2.1.2 Physical Processes

All similarity-based methods have in common that they try to minimize a local error term. To control the global error, techniques inspired by physical processes were proposed. The evolving physical process, e.g., anisotropic diffusion, creates a scalar field that reflects the structure of the flow field. The clusters are extracted by partitioning the scalar field.

The approach by Garcke et al. [GPR⁺01] is based on a physical clustering model, the Cahn Hillard model, which is used to describe clustering in metal alloys. When applied to a fine-grained, noise-like signal, this method creates clusters that are aligned to the flow, which determines the anisotropy of the operator. The diffusion time serves as a multiscale parameter that leads from fine cluster granularity to successively coarser clusters. The clusters are

identified by extracting connected components with values ≥ 0 in the diffusion solution. A similar approach was taken by Griebel et al. [GPR⁺04], who define an anisotropic diffusion tensor based on the flow direction. This tensor induces an anisotropic differential operator, which defines strong (flow-aligned) and weak (flow-orthogonal) couplings between mesh neighbor points. The anisotropic differential operator is discretized using finite elements. Thus, a stiffness matrix is obtained that is progressively simplified using the algebraic multigrid method. The supports of the basis functions delivered by the algebraic multigrid method are used to decompose the flow structure into clusters.

2.1.3 Topology-related Methods

For the partitioning of vector fields, topology-related clustering techniques group together similar vectors that are associated with the same singularities according to the dominant topological structure of the respective vector fields.

Carmo et al. [CPPBY04] deal with velocity MR flow fields. To abstract from the resulting complex flow fields, hierarchical clustering is applied. In order to enclose regions around critical points, each cluster joints points with approximately the same velocity gradients. To determine these gradients in each cluster, they carry out local linear expansion. Additionally, they combine their approach with a 3D streamline tracking, to convey the overall topology of the field.

A modified normalized-cut algorithm is used by Chen et al. [CBBL03] for hierarchical vector field segmentation. The basic idea is to model a vector field as an undirected, weighted graph. The connection weight between each pair of nodes in the graph results from a similarity measure that takes into consideration both Euclidean distance between point pairs and the difference in vector values. In a next step, the segmentation method based on normalized cut is applied to linear vector fields. The segmentations capture the qualitative and topological nature of linear vector fields. The method, however, works poorly for nonlinear vector fields.

Recently, Li et al. [LCS06] propose an approach for 2D discrete vector field segmentation based on the Green function and the previously discussed normalized cut algorithm. Their work is based on the Hodge decomposition [PP00], [PP02], such that a discrete vector field is broken down into three simpler components, namely, curl-free, divergence-free, and harmonic components. In this way, feature information about singularities is faithfully transferred from vector fields to this scalar fields. The authors use the Green Function Method(GFM) to approximate the curl-free and the divergence-free components to achieve the vector field segmentation. The final segmentation curves are composed of piecewise smooth contours or streamlines and show the boundaries of the influence region of singularities. Their method is applicable to both linear and nonlinear discrete vector fields.

2.2 Integral-Line-Based Approaches

Integral-line-based approaches group integral lines together that show a similar behavior. Topology-Based approaches are an example and use the origin/destination of integral lines




Figure 4: Common first order singularity types

as similarity measure. Recently, other more general similarity measures were introduced. We refer to these new techniques as general integral line based approaches.

2.2.1 Topology-Based Approaches

Topological methods focus on the structural properties of the flow. A detailed introduction to various flow structures can be found in the books by Abraham and Shaw [AS84][AS88] which contain illustrative sketches explaining various vector field configurations thereby providing great understanding of these configurations. Most topological methods start with analyzing the singularities of the vector field, i.e. those locations within the flow where the vector becomes zero. Hence, singularities are also referred to as zeros or critical points of the vector field. Critical points were first investigated by Perry [PF74, Per84, PC87], Dallmann [Dal83], Chong [CPC90] and others.

Singularities are usually classified by different types with focus on first order singularities which are the only types that occur in linearly interpolated vector fields. For the classification of the singularities, the eigenvalues of the Jacobian of the vector field are considered. In a 2D vector field, this yields two complex numbers. Depending on the sign of the real parts of the eigenvalues and the existence of an imaginary part, different types of singularities can be distinguished. Figure 4 shows some common types of singularities with their corresponding real and imaginary components. Singularities can have an attracting or repelling property, i.e the surrounding flow moves towards or away from the singularity. Similarly, streamlines – integral curves through the vector field – can be attracted or repelled by a singularity. Note that streamlines can only intersect at the singularities.

From a topological point of view, closed streamlines, sometimes also referred to as closed orbits, are similar to singularities. They, too, can attract or repel the surrounding flow and different streamlines can meet at a closed orbit. A closed streamline is a streamline that is connected to itself, thereby forming a loop.

The notion of flow topology was first introduced to the visualization community by Helman and Hesselink [HH89b, HH89a, HH91]. A very detailed overview of topological methods is given by Laramée et al. [HHT07]. The idea of flow topology is to separate the vector field into regions with similar behavior. To achieve this, the fact that streamlines cannot intersect each other unless they meet at a critical point or closed streamline is exploited. For this, the saddle singularities of the vector field are identified. Saddle singularities have two major

axes in direction of the eigenvectors of the Jacobian. In each of the quadrants formed by the axes, an asymptotic flow towards to the axes is present as illustrated in Figure 4. Hence, these axes separate the flow into four different areas. Then, separatrices are generated which are streamlines that start or end at a saddle singularity. Consequently, the separatrices divide the vector field into areas with similar flow. The resulting visualization consisting of the singularities and separatrices is often referred to as the topological skeleton (Figure 5). Several extensions to the original method by Helman and Hesselink were proposed. Some of these extensions – like the original method – work on two-dimensional, steady vector fields. Others extract surfaces within a 3D vector field and analyze a steady 2D vector field on top of these surfaces resulting in a 2.5D algorithm. Various methodologies that visualize the entire 3D vector field are also available as well as algorithms that follow the same categories but support time-varying vector fields. In the following, methods are grouped according to these categories.




Figure 5: Topological methods (from left to right): 2D topology on planar surfaces [WTS⁺07], saddle connectors [TWHs03] (pictures depending on authors permission)

Steady 2D vector fields Steady two-dimensional vector fields resulting from scans or simulations are usually given on some sort of grid with vector values on the grid nodes. Commonly, linear interpolation is used to determine vectors inside the cells. However, linear interpolation eliminates higher order singularities that may be present in the vector field. Therefore, Scheuermann et al. [SHK⁺97] employ Clifford algebra and a higher order interpolation scheme in the vicinity of several singularities to preserve higher order singularities. Similarly, the linear interpolation scheme changes the vector field topology since higher order singularities are misinterpreted. In order to avoid this, Scheuermann et al. [SKMR98] use their Clifford algebra method for higher order singularities to compute the correct topological skeleton of the vector field. Since closed streamlines can act like singularities in terms of the attracting or repelling behavior, streamlines emanating from a saddle singularity may end at a closed streamline. Hence, closed streamlines are an in-

tegral part of topological skeletons. Therefore, Wischgoll et al. [SKMR98] introduced the first algorithm capable of detecting closed streamlines, thereby completing the topological analysis of 2D steady vector fields. Since the original algorithm by Wischgoll et al. detects a closed streamline by following the streamline and proving that it cannot leave a limited number of cells, Theisel et al. [TWHS04a] presented a grid-independent algorithm for detecting closed streamlines in 2D vector fields.

Steady 2.5D Vector Fields In order to study flow separation where the 3D flow represented by the vector field separates from a surface, often times the analysis of the vector field on that surface can help. Hesselink et al. [HH90] compute the tangential velocity field near a body in a three-dimensional flow. The topological skeleton of the resulting vector field then provides a basis for analyzing the three-dimensional structure of the flow separation. Löffelmann [LKG97] uses Poincaré sections to visualize closed streamlines and strange attractors. Poincaré sections define a discrete dynamical system of lower dimension which is easier to understand. The Poincaré section which is transverse to the closed streamline is visualized as a disk. On the disk, spot noise is used to depict the vector field projected onto that disk. In addition, streamlines and streamsurfaces show the vector field in the vicinity of the closed streamline that is not located on the disk visualizing the Poincaré section. Chen et al. [CML⁺07] proposed a method for extracting periodic orbits based on Morse decomposition. The method is applied to cross-sections of a flow within the combustion chamber of a Diesel engine. The closed streamlines are then computed within the flow on these cross-sections as well as the topological skeleton.

Steady 3D Vector Fields In order to apply topological methods to 3D steady vector fields, Helman et al. [HH91] extend their original work by analyzing 3D singularities within the vector field. Similar to the 2D case, the Jacobian is analyzed and singularities are visualized with their eigenvectors and eigenvalues displayed as arrows and disks. Similarly, closed streamlines may occur in 3D vector fields as well with the same topological features as singularities. Hence, Wischgoll et al. [WS02] expand their detection algorithm for closed streamlines to support three-dimensional vector fields. In 3D vector field topology, separatrices no longer are single streamlines but rather streamsurfaces emanating from singularities with at least two distinct eigenvalues. Mahrous et al. [MBHJ03] presented an algorithm that improves on the computational effort involved in determining these streamsurfaces. Since streamsurfaces tend to occlude each other, Löffelmann et al. [LG98] proposed the use of bundles of streamlets to reduce the visual clutter. Similarly, Theisel et al. [TWHS03] introduced saddle connectors which reduce the streamsurfaces that connect 3D saddle singularities to a minimum in order to avoid occlusion. Weinkauff et al. [WTHH04] extend this idea and reduce the streamsurfaces even further to boundary switch connectors. Mahrous et al. [MBS⁺04] filters the vector field first before computing separatrices in a 3D vector field to retrieve the 3D topology only for the areas of interest. Sun et al. [SBSH04] apply vector field topology to analyze a C-shaped nano-aperture. In order to extract higher order singularities in 3D vector fields, Weinkauff et al. [WTHS05] show that it is sufficient to determine the 2D topological skeleton of a closed convex surface around the area of interest. Once detected, the higher order singularity is then replaced

by a cluster of first order singularities to yield a simplified visual representation.

Unsteady Vector Fields The methods described so far focused on a static vector field. In order to apply topological methods to time-varying data sets, Tricoche et al. [TSH01b] interpolate the 2D vector field between time slices and track singularities throughout time which is visualized by using the third dimension. The topological skeleton is computed within the time slices. This method is then extended by Wischgoll et al. [WSH01] to track closed streamlines over time and complete the topological analysis of time-varying 2D vector fields [TWSH02]. Theisel et al. [TS03] compute a feature flow field based on a 2D time-dependent vector field to track more general features by integrating streamlines within the feature flow field. The previous methods assumed each time slice as a static vector field. In order to integrate the time-varying property of the 2D vector field, Theisel et al. [TWHS04b, TWHS05] based the topological analysis on path lines. Similarly, Shi et al. [STW⁺06] applied the path-line-based methodology to periodic vector fields to avoid the the short lifetime of typical path lines.

In order to extract and visualize vortices that originate from bounding walls of time-varying 3D vector fields, Wiebel et al. [WTS⁺07] track singularities in the wall shear stress vector field. Then, the trajectories of the singularities are used as a basis for seeding particles, thereby leading to a new type of streak line visualization.

Topology Simplification of Static Vector Fields Vector fields with a high degree of turbulence can lead to a very complex topological skeleton. Simplifying the topology of the vector field can improve the visualization by reducing the visual clutter. De Leeuw et al. [dLvL99a] introduce a multi-level approach for topology of 2D vector fields to allow a user to remove clutter within the topological skeleton by only considering the more important singularities [dLvL99b]. Lodha et al. [LRR00] achieve a topology preserving compression of 2D vector fields by using a constrained clustering approach for the singularities. By modifying the underlying grid structure and clustering singularities, Tricoche et al. [TSH00, TSH01c] reduce the complexity of the topological skeleton. They then extended their work to eliminate the necessity of changing the grid to support a continuous topology simplification [TSH01a]. Theisel [The02] designed a scheme for generating vector fields of arbitrary topology. He then applies this scheme to generate a new vector field of the same topology as a given 2D vector field to find a compressed representation. In a different approach, Theisel et al. [TRS03b] developed a compression scheme for vector fields based on topology preserving edge collapses. Further simplification is achieved by assigning weights to singularities and separatrices and preserving only the important topological features [TRS03a]. In order to simplify a 3D vector fields, Weinkauff et al. [WTHS05] identify high order singularities and replace them by a cluster of first order critical points to achieve a simplified visual representation.

2.2.2 General Integral Line Based Approaches

The basic idea behind these kinds of approaches is to structure the flow according to a general user defined behavior. Therefore, the desired flow behavior is expressed as properties

of particle traces. Grouping particles with the same properties together facilitates building a structure of the flow. The conventional flow topology as presented in 2.2.1 is a successful example of such a structure.

Salzbrunn and Scheuermann [SS07, SS06] define particle properties for steady vector fields in terms of streamline predicates. These predicates define, whether a streamline has a given property or not. All streamlines fulfill a streamline predicate are collected in the characteristic set of this predicate. Consequently, the characteristic set is exactly that part of the flow with the behavior as specified by the streamline predicate. A set of predicates, where every streamline fulfills exactly one, results in a set of disjunct characteristic sets. Hence, this set of predicates defines a partition of the flow which is considered a flow structure. Using feature-detection methods as preprocessing step, several streamline predicates can be formulated. The resulting flow structures are visualized by the use of isosurfaces. Particularly, this method allows for examination of the flow behavior with respect to vortices. Furthermore, Salzbrunn and Scheuermann [SS07] show that the usual flow topology can be formulated as a flow structure. In addition, acceleration strategies for the construction of flow structures are explored [SWS07]. Salzbrunn et al. [SGSM07] extend their work on 3D time-dependent flow fields with pathline predicates. There, the lifespan of a particle has to be taken into account (Figure 6). The resulting time-dependent flow structures are visualized as animated isosurfaces or particle systems.




Figure 6: General integral line based approaches (from left to right): streamline predicates [SS06] and pathline predicates [SGSM07].

Shi et al. [STW⁺07] define pathline attributes to analyze the dynamics behavior of time-dependent flow fields and give various examples. To get meaningful threshold values for the pathline attributes, information visualization approaches are used in the sense of a set of linked views (scatter plots, parallel coordinates, etc.) with interactive brushing and focus+context visualization. The selected path lines with certain properties are visualized as colored 3D curves (Figure 7).




Figure 7: General integral line based approaches: pathline attributes [STW⁺07] (pictures depending on authors permission).

2.3 New Directions

The recently introduced notion of Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponent (FTLE) to characterize Coherent Lagrangian Structures by Haller [Hal01] provides a powerful framework for the visualization and analysis of complex technical flows. This initial research generated a significant interest in FTLE and its applications to the structural analysis of transient flows in the flow visualization community. First works from Sadlo and Peikert [SP07a] and Garth et al. [GLT⁺07] deal with visualization issues. Both works were improved [GGTH07, SP07b] to allow for an efficient computation of these coherent structures. Further work should elaborate on the use of FTLE in flow visualization and yield to partitioning of the flow.

A further new direction of analysis was proposed by Jänicke et al. [JWSK07] who use an information theoretic approach to automatically detect relevant regions in a dataset.

3 Conclusions and Future Prospects

This paper describes the state-of-the-art of structure-based techniques for visualizing and analyzing vector fields. To this date, structure-based techniques have shown to be capable of providing an abstract representation of the flow that captures the relevant structures. The three classes of techniques capture different properties of the flow and therefore are beneficial in different tasks. Cluster-based methods are best suited, when the visualization is supposed to display a coarsened representation of the flow. Topology- and structure-based techniques emphasize the dynamics of the flow. While topological methods describe

the flow in terms of origin and destination of particles, general line-integral approaches concentrate on attributes of particles. Depending on the aim of the visualization the user can choose the technique that is best suited. Although many different problems have been treated, the field of structure-based visualization is still rich in challenging open problems which have to be solved in order to make use of the full potential of these methodologies:

- The cognition of the results leaves open questions and needs more theoretical development.
- The visualization and correct capturing of 3D time-dependent structures is still challenging.
- How accurate are the boundaries of the partitioning?
- So far only few predicates for the general line-integrals have been researched. New predicates might give a better understanding of the flow.

References

- [AS84] Ralph H. Abraham and Christopher D. Shaw. *Dynamics – The Geometry of Behaviour: Bifurcation Behaviour Part 3: Global Behaviour*. Aerial Press, Inc., Santa Cruz, 1984.
- [AS88] Ralph H. Abraham and Christopher D. Shaw. *Dynamics – The Geometry of Behaviour: Bifurcation Behaviour Part 4: Bifurcation Behaviour*. Aerial Press, Inc., Santa Cruz, 1988.
- [CBBL03] J.-L. Chen, B. Bai, Hamann B., and T.J. Ligoeki. A Normalized-Cut Algorithm for Hierarchical Vector Field Data Segmentation. In *Proc. Visualization and Data Analysis Conf.*, 2003.
- [CML⁺07] G. Chen, K. Mischaikow, R.S. Laramée, P. Pilarczyk, and E. Zhang. Vector Field Editing and Periodic Orbit Extraction Using Morse Decomposition. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 13(4):769 – 785, 2007.
- [CPC90] M. S. Chong, A. E. Perry, and B. J. Cantwell. A General Classification of Three-Dimensional Flow Fields. *Physics of Fluids*, A2(5):765–777, 1990.
- [CPPBY04] B.S. Carmo, Y.H. Pauline, A. Prügel-Bennett, and G.Z. Yang. A Data Clustering and Streamline Reduction Method for 3d Mr Flow Vector Field Simplification. In *MICCAI 2004, LNCS 3216*, pages 451 – 458, 2004.
- [Dal83] U. Dallmann. Topological Structures of Three-Dimensional Flow Separations. Technical Report DFVLR-AVA Bericht Nr. 221-82 A 07, Deutsche Forschungs- und Versuchsanstalt für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V., April 1983.

- [dLvL99a] W. de Leeuw and R. van Liere. Visualization of Global Flow Structures Using Multiple Levels of Topology. In *Data Visualization 1999. Proc. VisSym 1999*, pages 45 – 52, 1999.
- [dLvL99b] W. C. de Leeuw and R. van Liere. Collapsing Flow Topology Using Area Metrics. In *IEEE Visualization '99*, pages 349 – 354, San Francisco, CA, 1999.
- [DW04] W. Du and X. Wang. Centroidal Voronoi Tessellation Based Algorithms for Vector Fields Visualization and Segmentation. In *IEEE Visualization 2004 Proceedings*, pages 43–50, Los Alamitos, CA, 2004. IEEE Computer Society.
- [GGTH07] G. Garth, F. Gerhardt, X. Tricoche, and H. Hagen. Efficient computation and visualization of coherent structures in fluid flow applications. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 13(6):1464 – 1471, 2007.
- [GLT⁺07] C. Garth, G.-S. Li, X. Tricoche, c.D. Hansen, and H. Hagen. Visualization of Coherent Structures in 2d Transient Flows. In *Topology-Based Methods in Visualization, Proceedings of the 2007 Workshop (to appear)*, 2007.
- [GPR⁺01] H. Garcke, T. Preusser, A. Rumpf, A. Telea, U. Weikard, and J.J. van Wijk. A Phase Field Model for Continuous Clustering on Vector Fields. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 7(3):230 – 241, 2001.
- [GPR⁺04] M. Griebel, T. Preusser, M. Rumpf, M.A. Schweitzer, and A. Telea. Flow Field Clustering via Algebraic Multigrid. In *IEEE Visualization 2004*, pages 35 – 42, Austin, Texas, 2004.
- [Hal01] G. Haller. Distinguished material surfaces and coherent structures in three-dimensional flows. In *Physica D*, pages 248 – 277, 2001.
- [HH89a] J. L. Helman and L. Hesselink. Automated analysis of fluid flow topology. In *Three-Dimensional Visualization and Display Techniques, SPIE Proceedings Vol. 1083*, pages 144–152, 1989.
- [HH89b] J. L. Helman and L. Hesselink. Representation and Display of Vector Field Topology in Fluid Flow Data Sets. *Computer*, 22(8):27–36, 1989.
- [HH90] J. L. Helman and L. Hesselink. Surface Representations of Two- and Three-Dimensional Fluid Flow Topology. In G. M. Nielson and B. Shriver, editors, *Visualization in scientific computing*, pages 6–13, Los Alamitos, CA, 1990.
- [HH91] J. L. Helman and L. Hesselink. Visualizing Vector Field Topology in Fluid Flows. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 11(3):36–46, May 1991.
- [HHT07] H. Hauser, H. Hagen, and H. Theisel, editors. *Topology-Based Flow Visualization, The State of the Art*, pages 1–19. Springer-Verlag, 2007.

- [HWH99] B. Heckel, G Weber, and B. Hamann. Construction of Vector Field Hierarchies. In *IEEE Visualization 1999*, pages 19 – 26, San Francisco, CA, 1999.
- [JWSK07] Heike Jänicke, Alexander Wiebel, Gerek Scheuermann, and Wolfgang Kollmann. Multifield visualization using local statistical complexity. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 13(6):1384–1391, 2007.
- [LCS06] H. Li, W. Chen, and I.F. Shen. Segmentation of Discrete Vector Fields. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 12(3):289–300, 2006.
- [LG98] H. Löffelmann and E. Gröller. Enhancing the Visualization of Characteristic Structures in Dynamical Systems. In *In Proceedings of the 9th Eurographics Workshop on Visualization in Scientific Computing*, pages 35–46, 1998.
- [LHD⁺04] R.S. Laramée, H. Hauser, H. Doleisch, B. Vrolijk, F.H. Post, and Weiskopf D. The State of the Art in Flow Visualization: Dense and Texture Based Techniques. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 23(2):203 – 221, 2004.
- [LKG97] H. Löffelmann, T. Kučera, and E. Gröller. Visualizing Poincaré Maps Together with the Underlying Flow. In H.-C. Hege and K. Polthier, editors, *Mathematical Visualization, Algorithms, Applications, and Numerics*, pages 315–328. Springer, 1997.
- [LRR00] S. K. Lodha, J. C. Renteria, and K. M. Roskin. Topology Preserving Compression of 2D Vector Fields. In *IEEE Visualization 2000*, pages 343 – 350, Salt Lake City, UT, 2000.
- [MBHJ03] K. Mahrous, J. Bennett, B. Hamann, and K. I. Joy. Improving Topological Segmentation of Three-Dimensional Vector Fields. In G.-P. Bonneau, B. Hahmann, and C.D. Hanson, editors, *Proceedings of Eurographics/IEEE-VGTC Symposium on Visualization 2003*, pages 203 – 212, 2003.
- [MBS⁺04] K. Mahrous, J. Bennett, G. Scheuermann, B. Hamann, and K. I. Joy. Topological Segmentation of Three-Dimensional Vector Fields. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 10(2):198 – 205, 2004.
- [MLD05] A. McKenzie, S. Lombeyda, and M. Desbrun. Vector Field Analysis and Visualization through Variational Clustering. In Ken Brodlie, David Duke, and Ken Joy, editors, *Proceedings of Eurographics/IEEE-VGTC Symposium on Visualization 2005 (EuroVis 2005)*, 2005.
- [PC87] A. E. Perry and M. S. Chong. A description of eddying motions and flow patterns using critical point concepts. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, pages 127–155, 1987.
- [Per84] A. E. Perry. A Study of Non-degenerate Critical Points in Three-Dimensional Flow Fields. Technical Report DFVLR-FB 84-36, Deutsche Forschungs- und Versuchsanstalt für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V., 1984.

- [PF74] A. E. Perry and B. D. Fairly. Critical Points in Flow Patterns. *Advances in Geophysics*, 18B:299–315, 1974.
- [PP00] K. Polthier and E. Preuss. Variational Approach to Vector Field Decomposition. In W. de Leeuw and R. van Liere, editors, *Scientific Visualization 2000*, pages 147–156, Berlin, 2000. Springer.
- [PP02] K. Polthier and E. Preuss. Identifying Vector Fields Singularities Using a Discrete Hodge Decomposition. In *Visualization and Math.*, Berlin, 2002. Springer.
- [PVH⁺02] F. H. Post, B. Vrolijk, H. Hauser, R. S. Laramée, and H. Doleisch. State of the art report: Feature extraction and visualisation of flow fields. In *Eurographics 2002*. Eurographics Association, 2002.
- [PVH⁺03] F.H. Post, B. Vrolijk, H. Hauser, R.S. Laramée, and H. Doleisch. The State of the Art in Flow Visualization: Feature Extraction and Tracking. In *Computer Graphics Forum 22*, volume 4, pages 775–792, 2003.
- [SBSH04] L. Sun, R. Batra, X. Shi, and L. Hesselink. Topology Visualization of the Optical Power Flow through a Novel C-Shaped Nano-Aperture. In *IEEE Visualization 2004*, pages 337 – 344, Austin, Texas, 2004.
- [SGSM07] T. Salzbrunn, C. Garth, G. Scheuermann, and J. Meyer. Pathline predicates and unsteady flow structures. *The Visual Computer (submitted)*, 2007.
- [SHK⁺97] G. Scheuermann, H. Hagen, H. Krüger, M. Menzel, and A. Rockwood. Visualization of Higher Order Singularities in Vector Fields. In *IEEE Visualization 1997*, pages 67 – 74, Los Alamitos, 1997.
- [SKMR98] G. Scheuermann, H. Krüger, M. Menzel, and A. Rockwood. Visualizing Nonlinear Vector Field Topology. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 4(2):109–116, April–June 1998.
- [SP07a] F Sadlo and R. Peikert. Visualizing lagrangian coherent structures and comparison to vector field topology. In *To appear in: Topology-Based Methods in Mathematics + Visualization, Springer 2007*, 2007.
- [SP07b] Filip Sadlo and Ronald Peikert. Efficient visualization of lagrangian coherent structures by filtered amr ridge extraction. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 13(6):1456–1463, 2007.
- [SS06] T. Salzbrunn and G. Scheuermann. Streamline Predicates. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 12(6):1601 – 1612, 2006.
- [SS07] T. Salzbrunn and G. Scheuermann. Streamline Predicates As Flow Topology Generalization. In *Topology-based Methods in Visualization (Mathematics and Visualization)*, pages 65–78, 2007.

- [STW⁺06] K. Shi, H. Theisel, T. Weinkauff, H. Hauser, H.C. Hege, and H.P. Seidel. Path Line Oriented Topology for Periodic 2d Time-Dependent Vector Fields. In D. Ertl, K. Joy, and B. Santos, editors, *Proceedings of Eurographics/IEEE-VGTC Symposium on Visualization 2005 (EuroVis 2006)*, pages 139 – 146, 2006.
- [STW⁺07] K. Shi, H. Theisel, T. Weinkauff, K. Matkovic, H.C. Hege, and H.-P. Seidel. Path Line Attributes - an Information Visualization Approach to Analyzing the Dynamic Behavior of 3d Time-Dependent Flow Fields. In *To appear in: Topology-Based Methods in Mathematics + Visualization, Springer 2007*, 2007.
- [SWS07] T. Salzbrunn, A. Wiebel, and G. Scheuermann. Efficient Construction of Flow Structures. In *Proceedings IASTED VIIP 2007*, pages 135–140, 2007.
- [The02] H. Theisel. Designing 2d Vector Fields of Arbitrary Topology. *Computer Graphics Forum (Eurographics 2002)*, 21(3):595 – 604, 2002.
- [TRS03a] H Theisel, Ch. Rössel, and H.-P. Seidel. Combining Topological Simplification and Topology Preserving Compression for 2d Vector Fields. In *11th Pacific Conference on Computer Graphics and Applications*, pages 419 – 423, 2003.
- [TRS03b] H. Theisel, C. Rössl, and H. Seidel. Compression of 2d vector fields under guaranteed topology preservation. *Computer Graphics Forum (Eurographics 2003)*, 22(3), 2003.
- [TS03] H. Theisel and H.P. Seidel. Feature Flow Fields. In *Data Visualization 2003. Proc. VisSym 2003*, pages 141–148, 2003.
- [TSH00] X. Tricoche, G. Scheuermann, and H. Hagen. A topology simplification method for 2d vector fields. In *IEEE Visualization 2000*, pages 359 – 366, Los Alamitos, CA, 2000.
- [TSH01a] X. Tricoche, G. Scheuermann, and H. Hagen. Continuous Topology Simplification of Planar Vector Fields. In T. Ertl, K. Joy, and A. Varshney, editors, *IEEE Visualization 2001*, pages 159 – 166, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, 2001. IEEE Computer Society.
- [TSH01b] X. Tricoche, G. Scheuermann, and H. Hagen. Topology-Based Visualization of Time-Dependent 2d Vector Fields. In *Data Visualization 2001. Proc. VisSym 2001*, pages 117–126, 2001.
- [TSH01c] X. Tricoche, G. Scheuermann, and H. Hagen. Vector and Tensor Field Topology Simplification on Irregular Grids. In D. Ebert, J. M. Favre, and R. Peikert, editors, *Data Visualization 2001*, pages 107 – 116, Wien, 2001. Springer.
- [TvW99] A. Telea and J.J. van Wijk. Simplified Representation of Vector Fields. In *IEEE Visualization 1999*, pages 35–42, San Francisco, CA, 1999.

- [TWHS03] H. Theisel, T. Weinkauff, H.C. Hege, and H.P. Seidel. Saddle Connectors - An Approach to Visualizing the Topological Skeleton of Complex 3d Vector Fields. In *IEEE Visualization 2003*, pages 225 – 232, 2003.
- [TWHS04a] H. Theisel, T. Weinkauff, H.-C. Hege, and H.-P. Seidel. Grid-independent detection of closed stream lines in 2d vector fields. In *In Proceedings of the Conference on Vision, Modeling and Visualization 2004 (VMV 04)*, pages 421 – 428, 2004.
- [TWHS04b] H. Theisel, T. Weinkauff, H.-C. Hege, and H.-P. Seidel. Stream Line and Path Line Oriented Topology for 2D Time-dependent Vector Fields. In *IEEE Visualization 2004 Proceedings*, pages 321–328, Los Alamitos, CA, 2004. IEEE Computer Society.
- [TWHS05] H. Theisel, T. Weinkauff, H.-C. Hege, and H.-P. Seidel. Topological Methods for 2d Time-Dependent Vector Fields Based on Stream Lines and Path Lines. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 11(4):383 – 394, 2005.
- [TWSH02] X. Tricoche, T. Wischgoll, G. Scheuermann, and H. Hagen. Topology Tracking for the Visualization of Time-Dependent Two-Dimensional Flows. *Computers & Graphics*, 26(2):249 – 257, 2002.
- [WS02] T. Wischgoll and G. Scheuermann. Locating Closed Streamlines in 3d Vector Fields. In *In Proceedings of the Joint Eurographics - IEEE TCVG Symposium on Visualization (VisSym02)*, pages 227–280, 2002.
- [WSH01] T. Wischgoll, G. Scheuermann, and H. Hagen. Tracking Closed Streamlines in Time-Dependent Planar Flows. In T. Ertl, B. Girod, H. Niemann, and H.-P. Seidel, editors, *Vision, Modeling, and Visualization 2001*, pages 447 – 454, Berlin, 2001. Aka.
- [WTHH04] T. Weinkauff, H. Theisel, H.C. Hege, and Seidel H.P. Boundary Switch Connectors for Topological Visualization of Complex 3d Vector Fields. In *Proceedings of the 6th Joint IEEE TCVG - EUROGRAPHICS Symposium on Visualization (VisSym 2004)*, pages 183 – 192, 2004.
- [WTHS05] T. Weinkauff, H. Theisel, H.C. Hege, and H.-P. Seidel. Extracting higher order critical points and topological simplification of 3d vector fields. In *IEEE Visualization 2005*, pages 559 – 566, Minneapolis, MN, 2005.
- [WTS⁺07] A. Wiebel, X. Tricoche, D. Schneider, H. Jaenike, and G. Scheuermann. Generalized Streak Lines: Analysis and Visualization of Boundary Induced Vortices. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 13(6):1735 – 1742, 2007.